In-Network Address Caching for Virtual Networks

Lior Zeno, Ang Chen, Mark Silberstein







Virtual networks *enable* the public cloud, but they are also *difficult* to manage.

Virtual-to-physical IP translation is challenging, but necessary for packet forwarding.



Sailfish: Accelerating Cloud-Scale Multi-Tenant Multi-Service Gateways with Programmable Switches





In-network IP address caching

Why Need efficient updates & fast routing How

Systematic approach backed by distributed computing results

Results

3

Better7.8×4.3×6.1×FCTFirst-packetBandwidth overhead

Background: Packet Forwarding in Physical Networks



Background: Packet Forwarding in Virtual Networks



Background: VM Roaming



Where to Perform Address Translation?

Host-Driven Design



 10^{6}

8

Gateway-Driven Designs







Sailfish: Accelerating Cloud-Scale Multi-Tenant Multi-Service Gateways with Programmable Switches, SIGCOMM'21. 9

The Tradeoff



Routing Performance

The Lookup-Update Tradeoff



Routing Performance

The Read (Lookup)-Write (Update) Tradeoff





The Dangers of Replication and a Solution Jim Gray (Gray@Microsoft.com)

THE COST OF DATA REPLICATION +

Hector Garcia-Molina Daniel Barbara

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey Ø8544

Our Idea: In-Network Address Caching



Agenda

- Background
- SwitchV2P: overview
- Challenges
- Design
- Experimental results

In-Network Address Translation



Cache Miss



Cache Hit: Source → Destination



Caching by Learning



Caching by Learning



The Greedy Approach



The Greedy Approach



Local Decisions are not Enough



Topology-Aware Caching

- Direct-mapped cache with small metadata (1 bit)
- ToRs learn source addresses
- GW ToRs learn destination addresses
- Evicted entries are spilled to other switches
- Popular entries are promoted to upper levels
- Move mappings to the traffic

Please see the paper for more details!

















Updates



Updates

Goal

Minimize the number of misdelivered and invalidation messages

Key idea Cache coherence is not necessary

Keeping Correct Forwarding



Keeping Correct Forwarding



Lazy Invalidations



Simulations

- Large network topologies: 10K VMs, 128 servers, 80 switches (>800 switches for Alibaba)
- Traces: Hadoop, WebSearch, Alibaba RPC, Microbursts, Video
- Network- and application-level metrics
- Main results:
 - Up to 7.8× reduction in FCT and 4.3× reduction in first packet latency
 - Low miss rates (below 1%) same performance with an order-of-magnitude fewer gateways
 - Reduced network load
 - Low migration costs

Baselines



Hadoop: Hit Rate



Hadoop: FCT



Relative to the number of addresses (10K)

Updates: Results

	Avg. Packet Latency	Gateway Packets	Misdelivered Packets	Total Invalidation Packets
NoCache	1×	100%	1×	
OnDemand	0.25×	0%	11×	
SwitchV2P	0.25×	8.7%	1.2×	24

SwitchV2P reduces the load on the stale destination with a small number of invalidation packets

Conclusions

- Give the power to the switches!
- In-network address translation is practical and efficient
- Key ideas: topology-aware caching, move mappings to the traffic, and lazy invalidations
- Up to 7.8× reduction in FCT and 4.3× reduction in first packet latency
- Up to 6.1× reduction in bandwidth overheads

Thank you! Questions?



liorz@campus.technion.ac.il